

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :
v. : **CRIMINAL ACTION**
MICHAEL SULLIVAN, et. al. :
: **13-39**
:

ORDER

AND NOW, this 6th day of November 2014, upon consideration of the government's motions to admit recordings (doc. nos. 151 and 157); the government's motion to compel testimony (doc. no. 158); Mr. Singletary's motion to exclude exhibits (doc. no. 247); Mr. Lowry's motion to preclude testimony (doc. no. 362); defendants' motions to join in Mr. Sullivan's motion for judgment of acquittal (doc. nos. 385, 386, 387 and 388); defendants' motions for judgment of acquittal (doc. nos. 384, 389 and 393), and consistent with the rulings I placed on the record during trial; **IT IS HEREBY**

ORDERED that:

1. The government's motions to admit recordings (doc. nos. 151 and 157) are **GRANTED**;
2. The government's motion to compel testimony (doc. no. 158) is **DENIED** as **moot**;¹
3. Mr. Singletary's motion to exclude exhibits (doc. no. 247) is **DENIED**;
4. Mr. Lowry's motion to preclude testimony (doc. no. 362) is **DENIED**;

¹The government submitted individualized motions to compel for each immunized witness which I granted throughout trial. *See, e.g.* doc. no. 272.

5. Defendants' motions to join in Mr. Sullivan's motion for judgment of acquittal (doc. nos. 385, 386, 387 and 388) are **DENIED as moot**;²

6. Defendants' motions for judgment of acquittal (doc. nos. 384, 389 and 393) are **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT

/s/ Lawrence F. Stengel
LAWRENCE F. STENGEL, J.

² I denied Mr. Sullivan's motion for judgment of acquittal from the bench following oral argument on July 9, 2014.